



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,762	09/23/2005	Remy Bruno	0512-1268	4661
466	7590	03/03/2010	EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON			LAO, LUN S	
209 Madison Street				
Suite 500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22314			2614	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/03/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

DocketingDept@young-thompson.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/528,762	BRUNO ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
LUN-SEE LAO	2614	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) LUN-SEE LAO.

(3) _____.

(2) Mr. James J. Livingston, Jr.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 February 2010

Time: 11:00 AM

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Final rejection for 101,112 and 102.

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

US PAT. 6,904,152(Moorer)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Vivian Chin/
 Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2614

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed the limitation of claim 1 of this application, 101 rejection, 112 rejection and the prior art to Moore. The examiner discussed how the claim was interpreted in the prior art. No agreement was reached.